

# Title 12 Addresses 2 Gaps in the **Protection of Groundwater**

- » The potential for "Contaminants" from both lawfully closed and illegal solid waste sites to adversely impact groundwater quality.
- » The potential for compounds not yet categorized as hazardous substances, i.e., "Emerging Contaminants," to adversely impact drinking water supplies.

### Contaminant / Emerging Contaminant

- » "Contaminant" means "emerging contaminants"... and for solid waste sites, the parameters identified in [Part 360 -2.11]." ECL §27-1201(1).
- » "Emerging Contaminant" means any physical, chemical, microbiological or radiological substance listed by the commissioner of health that meet certain criteria. PHL §1112(2)

# **B**)) Emerging Contaminant Criteria

- » Not subject to any other substance–specific drinking water regulation,
- » Known or anticipated to occur in public water systems, and
- » Because of their quantity, concentration, or physical, chemical or infectious characteristics, <u>may</u> cause:
  - physical injury or illness, OR
  - pose a potential hazard to human health

when present in drinking water. PHL  $\S1112(3)(a)$ 

© Barclay Damon LLP 2020

What Are PFAS?

- » Per-and polyfluroalky substances ("PFAS")
- » Group of man made chemicals that include:
  - > Perfluorooctane-sulfonic acid ("PFOS")
  - > Perfluorooctanoic acid ("PFOA")
  - > Gen X
  - PFOS and PFOA no longer manufactured in U.S.

© Barclay Damon LLP 2020

5

# Why Are PFAS a Significant Concern?

- Continued production internationally
- Still imported into the U.S. via consumer goods
- » Ubiquitous
- » Historic discharges impacting drinking water
- » Persistent
- » Bio-Accumulation
- » Potential Health Effects

© Barclav Damon LLP 202

Statutory Emerging Contaminants	
Statutory Emerging Contaminants	
PHL §1112(3)(c) required the commissioner to list:	
» 1,4-Dioxane	
» PFOS	
» PFOA	
» Focus on developing a MCL	
© Barclay Damon LLP 2020 7	
	1
MCLs for Emerging Contaminants	
» Existing "Standard" – 70ppt (EPA Guidance)	
» The proposed Maximum Contamination Limits ("MCL") are: > 1,4 – Dioxane – 1ppb	
> PFOS – 10ppt	
> PFOA – 10ppt	
Recommended by NYS Drinking Water Council 12/18/18	
Commissioner accepted recommendation on 7/8/19	
» Notice of Proposed Rulemaking published 7/24/19 in NYS Register	
© Barclay Damon LLP 2020 8	
	1
MCLs for Emerging Contaminants	
(continued)	
» 60 Day comment period (it closed on 9/22/19)	
Approx. 4,700 comments received and currently under review	
» Regulation goes into effect upon publication of Notice of Adoption	
» Currently no DEC Soil Clean-Up Objective (SCO) for PFAS	
» 1,4 – Dioxane – SCO Range 0.1ppm to 250ppm	

# Current DEC Statewide Evaluation of **Remedial Program Sites**

- » Based on informal DEC policy
  - Updated Guidance March 2019 (copy available)
- Sampling of all media for ECs
- Biota sampling may be necessary
- DEC has developed PFAS Analyte List
- » DEC Project Manager has discretion
- » Remedial Investigation Report may not be final
- » Modified EPA Method 537 preferred method



## **Current DEC Statewide Evaluation of Remedial Program Sites**

- » Reporting limits for PFAS are:
  - Groundwater 2 ppt
  - > Soil 1 ppb
- Reporting limits for 1,4 Dioxane:
  - Groundwater "should be no higher than" 0.35 ppb
  - Soil "should be no higher than" 0.1 ppm



### **NYSDEC Requires Sampling at State Remedial Program Sites**

- » Cost
- » Same for every Emerging Contaminant after Listing?
- » What do you do with data?
- » Referred to as "Forever Chemicals" (FoodandWater.org) (ABC News 9/26/2019)

# Distinction Between "Hazardous Wastes" vs. "Hazardous Substances"

- » "Hazardous Wastes" include solid wastes that meet specified criteria:
  - "Listed Hazardous Wastes";
  - "Characteristic Hazardous Wastes";
  - Substances listed in Part 597.3 which later become a waste material.
- When do the "Hazardous Substances" listed at Part 597.3 become a waste material?
  - When they are discarded, abandoned, or become inherently wastelike, such as substances released to the environment.



# Regulatory Status of Emerging **Contaminants**

- » In January 2016, New York became the first state to regulate PFOA as a hazardous substance.
- » PFOS was added to the hazardous substance list by emergency rule in April 2016. The final Rule listing PFOA and PFOS as hazardous substances became effective in March



# NYSDEC Authority to Require Sampling at State Remedial Program Sites

- » Sampling at New York Remedial Sites
  - DEC February 2019 Memo "Sampling for 1,4-Dioxane and [PFAS] Under DEC's Part 375 Remedial Programs" significantly expanded investigative requirements for emerging contaminants at all new  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) \left($ remedial program sites.
- » What remedial programs are impacted?
  - Emerging contaminants that are identified as contaminants of concern as a result of sampling at new or existing sites must be assessed as part of remedy selection.
- Potential For Regulation of Emerging Contaminants at Closed Remedial Sites



# NYSDEC Authority to Require Sampling at State Remedial Program Sites

- » Grounds for Modifying or Revoking a Certificate of Completion (COC) under all Part 375 Remedial Programs.
- » "Good cause" is not defined.
- » But, if the Department finds evidence of contamination at a closed site in concentrations that are likely to constitute a threat to human health or the environment.
- » Or, if sample results demonstrate concentrations above cleanup standards (once developed), it is likely that investigation and/or remediation will be required based upon the "reopener" language in the original order or agreement.

© Barclay Damon LLP 2020

16



# NYSDEC Authority to Require Sampling at State Superfund Sites

#### **State Superfund Orders**

- » Paragraph XVI.A. of NYSDEC's "Standard Clauses For All New York State Superfund Administrative Orders":
- » Respondents are required to "comply with and be bound by the provisions of 6 NYCRR Subparts 375-1 and 375-2.

© Barclay Damon I I P 2020

17



# NYSDEC Authority to Require Sampling at State Brownfield Sites

#### **Brownfield Cleanup Agreements**

- » Paragraph XIV.B. of the "Standard Clauses For All New York State Brownfield Cleanup Agreements":
- » Applicants must "comply with and be bound by the provisions of 6 NYCRR Subparts 375-1" (including § 375-1.9 (e), the provision authorizing reopening a COC for "good cause").
- » ECL 27-1419 also grants the Commissioner authority to modify or revoke a COC at a BCP site for "good cause".
- » ECL 27-1421 "reservation of rights".

© Barclay Damon LLP 202



### **NYSDEC Authority to Require Sampling** at State Brownfield Sites

- » The provision provides a liability limitation to applicants, but reserves DEC's right to require further investigation and/or remediation that the department deems necessary due to concerns relating to:
  - environmental contamination demonstrating the site is no longer protective of public health or the environment; or
  - a change in an environmental standard, factor, or criterion which renders the site no longer protective of public health or the environment.



# NYSDEC Authority to Require Sampling at **State Voluntary Cleanup Sites**

### **Voluntary Cleanup Agreement Reopeners**

» Applicants that completed an approved remedial program received a limited release and covenant not to sue, subject to certain reopeners.



### Two New Kinds of Sites

- » Drinking Water Contamination Sites
- » Solid Waste Sites

<b>***</b>	Drinking	Water	Contam	ination	Sites

- "Contaminant" means "emerging contaminants"... and for solid waste sites, the parameters identified in [Part 360 – 2.11]." ECL §27-1201(1).
- "Contamination" means the presence of a contaminant...in ... groundwater ... sufficient to cause or substantially contribute to an exceedance of SCGs, including ... notification levels, maximum residual disinfectant levels or action levels established by DOH.

© Danalau Danasa I I D 2020

22

## Notification Level

- » "Notification Level" means the concentration in drinking water that the commissioner has determined ... warrants public notification and may require actions ..." PHL §1112(2)(a).
- » Although, per PHL §1112(2)(a), remedial action may not be required, there is a bias toward regulatory action once the notification level has been exceeded because the definition of "contamination" has been met.
- » Therefore, the "notification level" functions as a safe harbor concentration when it has not been exceeded, but functions more like a cleanup standard once exceeded.

© Barclay Damon LLP 2020

23

### Drinking Water Contamination Sites

- "Drinking water contamination site" means any area ... "that is causing or substantially contributing to the <u>contamination</u> of one or more public drinking water supplies." ECL §27-1201(3).
- » "Contamination" occurs once the notification level is exceeded in groundwater.
- » Query, isn't the best use of all freshwater groundwater deemed to be as a drinking water supply?

© Barclay Damon LLP 202

Mitigating Drinking Water Sites	
» Whenever the commissioner of health has determined that a concentration of an emerging contaminant constitutes an "actual or potential threat to public health,"	
» DEC may "undertake all reasonable and necessary additional mitigation measures in any area of the State in which contamination is known to be present." ECL §27- 1205(1).	
© Barday Damon LLP 2020 25	
Referral to State Superfund Program	
» If DEC determines that a drinking water contamination site poses a significant threat, DEC "shall refer the site to the inactive hazardous waste disposal site remedial program." ECL §27-1205(2).	
© Barclay Damon LLP 2020 26	
Remediate or Title 12 Hearing	
<ul> <li>Once the commissioner of health requires action, DEC has the authority to undertake directly the implementation of mitigation and remediation measures to address emerging contaminants in public water supplies.</li> </ul>	-
After notice and hearing, the commissioner of health may order	
an owner, and operator or person responsible for a drinking water contamination site undertake mitigation and remediation. ECL §27-1205(3).	

# Cost Recovery from Owner and/or Operator for Drinking Water Sites

- » DEC shall... recover all mitigation costs incurred ... "from the owner and/or operator of the drinking water contamination site." ECL §27-1205(8).
- » ECL §27-1205(8) does not reference "responsible party."
- » However, the expense of any "such mitigation" may be "recovered from any responsible person in any action or proceeding brought..." ECL §27-1205(12)(e).

© Danelou Danes at 1 D 202

28

## The Problem with Cost Recovery

- "Contamination" means the presence of a contaminant in any environmental media... sufficient to cause or substantially contribute to an exceedances of ... notification levels..." ECL §27-1201(2).
- "Notification level" means the concentration level of an emerging contaminant that... warrants public notification and <u>may</u> require actions." PHL §1112(2)(b).
- » Once the commissioner of health requires action, the owner, operator and responsible party of the drinking water contamination site are subject to cost recovery regardless of whether a health threat was actually presented by the contaminant.

© Barclay Damon LLP 2020

29

### Primary Checks on DEC Remediation

» DEC shall employ <u>feasible</u> measures that can be successfully carried out with available, implementable and cost-effective technology.

ECL §27-1205(1).

» DEC shall have the authority to undertake... "all necessary and reasonable" mitigation and remediation measures of drinking water contamination.

ECL §27-1205(3)(a).

© Barclay Damon LLP 2020

Solid Waste Sites	
» "Solid waste site" means a site where:	
<ul> <li>DEC or a court has a reasonable basis to suspect that <u>illegal</u> <u>disposal</u> occurred."</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>DEC has a reasonable basis to suspect that an <u>inactive solid</u> <u>waste management facility</u>, which does not have a current         monitoring program, is impacting or contaminating one or more</li> </ul>	
drinking water supplies. ECL §27-1201(6).	
© Barclay Damon LLP 2020 31	
Priority for Solid Waste Sites	
» The solid waste site priority in this state is to <u>mitigate</u> and	
remediate any solid waste site causing or substantially contributing to <u>impairments</u> of drinking water quality	
which may impact of public health. ECL §27-1203(1).	
"Mitigation" means the <u>investigation</u> , sampling, management, or treatment of a solid waste site. ECL §27-	
1201(4).	
© Barclay Damon LLP 2020 32	
Г	1
State Money Available to DEC	
» The Department may implement necessary measures to [investigate,] mitigate and remediate the solid waste site	
within amounts appropriated for such purposes from the solid waste mitigation account. ECL §27-1203(6).	

Solid Waste Site Account	
"Solid waste mitigation account" means the account established pursuant to subdivision one of section 97-b	
State Finance Law. ECL §27-1201(7).	
» "With respect to monies in the solid waste mitigation	
account, no more than \$5 million will be available annually for such account." SFL §97-b(2)(k).	
annually for such account. SFL 997-b(2)(k).	
	-
© Barclay Damon LLP 2020 34	
Referral to State Superfund Program	-
» If DEC determines that a solid waste site poses a significant	
threat, DEC "shall refer the site to the inactive hazardous	
waste disposal site remedial program." ECL §27-1203(5).	
» There is not a cost recovery provision parallel to ECL §27- 1205(8) and (12) with respect to any solid waste site that is	-
not referred the State Superfund program.	
© Barclay Damon LLP 2020 35	- <del></del>
Cost Recovery Under Other Laws	
» Potentially applicable cost recovery provisions for funds expended from the Solid Waste Mitigation Account:	
- CERCLA	
- CERCLA - NY State common law	-
* public nuisance	

Natural Resource Damage

BARCLAY DAMON LLE
Questions?  fbifera@barclaydamon.com tfucillo@barclaydamon.com dmettler@barclaydamon.com twalsh@barclaydamon.com
© Barclay Damon LLP 2020

1	3